

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE F	ERIOD FOR RESPONSE.
- ·	extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b) [] e	extended to roll. Approximately the properties of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no expires three months from the date of the final rejection.
, 1	ny extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate technique to the description of the response and also the date for the date on which the response, the petition of and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the urposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
□ Appe	llant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1 192(a)
Appl	cant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not desired
	to use deposits to the claim and, or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because.
' 🗷	he proposed amendments to the dath 4.6.5.7 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
	presented
	They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note)
	New York of now matter (See Note).
	They raise the issue of new matter. (455) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	anneal
	e They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims
	NOTE: Claim 3 has been amended to include the phrase "convicting essentially of " and there is no support in the specific at our for the phrase It is also not known assessed how such a formation of how made to destruction
	composition to balanced a bottom to the design a
s 🗀	Newly proposed of amended claims would be allowed it submitted in a separative most people.
3 []	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows
	Claims allowed
	Claims objected to
	Claims rejected
	Hoyanyot
	Applicant's response t as overcessed by todowing resistances:
	The affidavit exhibit or request for recensideration has been as sidered but does not overcome the rejection because
4	The affidavit exhibit of requires a second of the second o
	as a part to the applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not learlier
£	The amount for $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}$.
	presented CAROLYN PADEN 7-2/- CAROLYN PADEN 7-2/-
. т	PRIMARY EXAMINER
	GROUP-13997 76